



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/767,753	01/23/2001	Masao Kasahara	81942.0014	2712	
26021	7590 06/01/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.			NALVEN, ANDREW L		
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			2134	2134	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/767,753	KASAHARA, MASAO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew L Nalven	2134
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ja</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Neterences Great (1 10-032)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/767,753

Art Unit: 2134

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-14 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 8-9, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanovsky US Patent No 5,703,948 in view of Orton US Patent No 5,297,206. Yanovsky discloses a protected communication method and system. Orton discloses a cryptographic method for communication and electronic signatures.
- 4. With regards to claims 1 and 13-14, Yanovsky discloses dividing a plaintext to be encrypted into a plurality of divided plaintexts (Yanovsky, column 4 lines 20-23) and the generation of ciphertext on a finite field (Yanovsky, column 4 lines 23-26), but fails to teach the use of the product-sum type encryption and the use of public keys. Orton discloses the use of the product-sum type encryption and the use of public keys (Orton, column 12 lines 42-45, column 12 lines 56-58, and Figure 4). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Orton's encryption method with Yanovsky's cryptographic method because it offers the advantage of ensuring secrecy of communication while minimizing the amount of

Art Unit: 2134

necessary computation and reducing the necessary key size (Yanovsky, column 4 lines 53-64, column 12 lines 48-51).

Page 3

- 5. With regards to claim 2, Yanovsky as modified teaches the divided plaintexts are encoded whereby each term of the intermediate decrypted text is constituted of an error correcting code word (Yanovsky, column 5 lines 7-14, Figure 1, and column 3 lines 12-18).
- 6. With regards to claim 8, Yanovsky as modified teaches the decryption of divided plaintexts being performed sequentially starting from the lowest order term of the divided plaintexts of the ciphertext in ascending order (Orton, Figure 2).
- 7. With regards to claim 9, Yanovsky as modified teaches the decryption of divided plaintexts being performed sequentially starting from the highest order term of the divided plaintexts of the ciphertext in ascending order (Orton, column 14 lines 53-61).
- With regards to claims 11 and 12, Yanovsky as modified teaches the inclusion of 8. a communication channel for transmitting the generated ciphertext from one entity to another entity (Yanovsky, Figure 2) and a decryptor for decrypting the transmitted ciphertext into a plaintext (Yanovsky, Figure 1).
- Claims 3-7 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 9. Yanovsky US Patent No 5,703,948 and Orton US Patent No 5,297,206 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Boesch US Patent No 6,125,185. Boesch teaches a system for encryption key generation

Application/Control Number: 09/767,753

Art Unit: 2134

10. With regards to claim 3, Yanovsky as modified teaches the use of public keys for each divided plaintext (Yanovsky, column 4 lines 20-26 and Orton, column 12 lines 42-45, column 12 lines 56-58, and Figure 4), but fails to teach a plurality of keys previously prepared and an arbitrary key being selected from among the prepared plurality of keys for use in ciphertext generation. Boesch teaches a plurality of keys previously prepared and an arbitrary key being selected from among the prepared plurality of keys for use in ciphertext generation (Boesch, column 5 lines 1-21). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Boesch's method of preparing a plurality of keys with Yanovsky's modified cryptographic method because it offers the advantage of leveling processor loads by shifting computationally complex operations out of the real-time cycle and allowing the processor to generate those computationally complex factors during periods of less than peak loading (Yanovsky, column 4 lines 63-67 and column 3 lines 43-47).

- 11. With regards to claims 4-5 and 7, Yanovsky as modified teaches the public key being fixed for a predetermined number (determined to be one) of divided plaintexts (Yanovsky, column 4 lines 22-26).
- 12. With regards to claim 6, Yanovsky as modified teaches the ciphertext being generated such that the selection information for indicating the public key selected for one divided plaintext is involved in another divided plaintext apart from the divided plaintext by a predetermined number (Yanovsky, column 4 lines 34-43).

Page 4

Page 5

13. With regards to claim 10, Yanovsky as modified teaches the decryption process of dividing plaintext and the decryption process of selection information being carried out in parallel (Yanovsky, column 12 lines 48-51).

## Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L Nalven whose telephone number is 703 305 8407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703 308 4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Nalven

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100